# The John Marshall Law School The John Marshall Institutional Repository

Court Documents and Proposed Legislation

2006

# Complaint, Joly v. Town of Lake Hunting and Fishing Club Inc, Docket Nos. 2:05-cv-02223, 2:06-cv-02031 (Central District of Illinois 2006)

Joseph R. Butler John Marshall Law School

John Marshall Law School Fair Housing Legal Clinic

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.jmls.edu/courtdocs



Part of the Law Commons

### Recommended Citation

Complaint, Joly v. Town of Lake Hunting and Fishing Club Inc, Docket Nos. 2:05-cv-02223, 2:06-cv-02031 (Central District of Illinois 2006)

http://repository.jmls.edu/courtdocs/62

This Brief is brought to you for free and open access by The John Marshall Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Court Documents and Proposed Legislation by an authorized administrator of The John Marshall Institutional Repository.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS URBANA DIVISION

BILL JOLY and GAIL JOLY,	)
Plaintiffs,	) Case No.
V.	)
THE TOWN OF LAKE HUNTING and	)
FISHING CLUB, INC.,	) <u>COMPLAINT</u>
Defendant.	) Jury Trial Demanded )

Bill Joly and Gail Joly allege:

### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action is brought by Bill Joly and Gail Joly to enforce the Fair Housing Act, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (Fair Housing Act), 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619.
- 2. Bill Joly and Gail Joly bring this action on their own behalf, pursuant to the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601 *et seq*.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 3613(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in the Urbana Division of the Central District of Illinois, since the events giving rise to this claim occurred in Kankakee County, Illinois, which is in the Urbana Division. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

### **PARTIES**

- 5. At all times relevant to the allegations herein, Defendant, The Town of Lake Hunting and Fishing Club, Inc. ("Defendant Club"), owned a parcel of property east of the City of Momence along the Kankakee River. The mission of Defendant Club is to facilitate hunting and fishing for its members and to promote sociability among its members. Members of Defendant Club own their own homes on Club grounds as personal property. Defendant Club operates and implements its Constitution and By-Laws ("By-Laws") through its Board of Directors.
- 6. Defendant Club permits only one individual membership per assigned property. Members of a household cannot share a membership, even if they are spouses, but they can all reside in the home and can own property in common. Defendant Club considers a non-member resident as a "guest" of the member of Defendant Club.
- 7. The homes at Defendant Club are dwellings within the meaning of Section 802(b) of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 3602(b).
- 8. Plaintiff Gail Joly is an individual with a disability as defined by the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3602(h). Plaintiff Bill Joly is Gail Joly's Husband. Plaintiff Gail Joly has osteoarthritis of the hips, heart disease, severe back pain, radicular symptomatology of the knees, myotonic dystrophy and spinal stenosis. By 1998, Plaintiff Gail Joly was limited in her ability to walk with any comfort. She was therefore, at all times relevant, substantially limited in the major life activity of walking.

### THE SUBJECT PROPERTY

9. In or around 1987, Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly purchased a residence on Defendant Club's grounds, located at 3193 North 16780 East Road, Momence, IL 60954, also referred to as Route 1 Box 430 ("Subject Property"). Plaintiff Bill Joly became a

member of Defendant Club in 1987. Plaintiffs subsequently moved into the Subject Property in or around 1988.

10. The Subject Property is a single family home with stairs leading to the front and rear entrances.

### **ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Gail Joly was unable to ambulate without the use of a cane, walker, or wheelchair. Each time she wanted to leave or return to her dwelling, Plaintiff Gail Joly had to sit and scoot up and down the stairs at the front entrance doorway in order to gain access to or to leave her home. In or around the summer or fall of 2001, due to the lack of accessibility, the Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly vacated the Subject Property and moved into another home that they own in Bolingbrook, Illinois.
- 12. From 2001 to 2003, Plaintiff Bill Joly presented, both informally and in writing, a reasonable modification request for the installation of wheelchair ramps and a sidewalk. Defendant Club "tabled" the requests based on insufficiency of documentation, namely, the lack of a detailed sketch of the ramps.
- 13. By letter dated October 31, 2003, Plaintiff Bill Joly again requested permission from Defendant Club to construct ramps at the front and rear entrances of the Subject Property and a sidewalk at the south side of the house to make the ramp at the rear entrance accessible. He informed Defendant Club that these projects were needed because of his wife's medical problems, and were necessary in order for him and his wife to move back to the Subject Property. Attached to his letter was a sketch of the wheelchair ramps, a building permit issued by the Kankakee County Planning

Department, Building Division, and a letter from Plaintiff Gail Joly's doctor supporting Plaintiffs' request for a wheelchair ramp.

- 14. By letter dated November 14, 2003, Defendant Club denied Plaintiffs' request to install wheelchair ramps, giving as a reason that Plaintiff Bill Joly was not considered a member in good standing. This was due to a dispute about Defendant Club's legal fees arising from prior litigation over Plaintiffs' fence. The letter also threatened that a lien would be placed against the Subject Property if Plaintiffs did not pay Defendant Club's legal fees related to the litigation over Plaintiffs' fence.
- 15. By letter dated December 2, 2003, addressed to Defendant Club's attorney, an attorney for Plaintiffs again requested that his clients be allowed to install wheelchair ramps needed for Plaintiff Gail Joly to access her home.
- 16. On or about December 7, 2003, Plaintiff Bill Joly and his attorney attended the regular Club meeting to discuss the status of Plaintiff Bill Joly's membership and the installation of the wheelchair ramps. At the meeting, Defendant Club advised Plaintiff Bill Joly and his attorney that Plaintiff Bill Joly was not considered to be a member of the Defendant Club in good standing, and, for that reason, no action would be taken on his request for modifications to his property. Defendant Club informed Plaintiff Bill Joly that once he became a member in good standing, Defendant Club would reconsider his request.
- 17. By letter dated April 15, 2004, Plaintiffs' new attorney demanded that Defendant Club immediately consent to the installation of wheelchair ramps in order to accommodate Plaintiff Gail Joly's disability and correct its records to delete the

unwarranted claim for legal fees. Further, he informed Defendant Club that if the Club failed to grant Plaintiffs' requests, Plaintiffs would seek legal remedies.

- 18. On or about May 2, 2004, Defendant Club held a meeting where the above-mentioned letter was discussed, and the type of action to be taken in response to the letter was decided. On or about June 6, 2004, Defendant Club voted to expel Plaintiff Bill Joly from the Club.
- 19. By letter dated June 9, 2004, Defendant Club notified Plaintiff Bill Joly that he was expelled from the Club and informed him that he had six months to dispose of the Subject Property.

### THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINTS

- 20. On or about September 9, 2004, Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly filed a complaint of discrimination (HUD Form 903) with the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") alleging that Defendant Club had discriminated against Plaintiffs on the basis of disability and sex, and had retaliated against Plaintiffs, in violation of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 *et seq*.
- 21. Pursuant to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3610(a) and (b), the Secretary of HUD ("Secretary") conducted and completed an investigation of the complaint and engaged in conciliation efforts, which were unsuccessful.
- 22. Thereafter, the Secretary prepared a final investigative report based upon the information gathered during the investigation, and the Secretary, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3610(g)(1), determined that there was reasonable cause to believe that discriminatory housing practices on the basis of disability and retaliation had occurred. HUD issued its Determination of Reasonable Cause on or about August 12, 2005.

- 23. HUD issued its Charge of Discrimination against the Defendant Club on or about August 12, 2005, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3610(g)(2)(A), charging Defendant Club with engaging in discriminatory housing practices on the basis of disability and retaliation, in violation of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601, et seq.
- 24. On or about September 6, 2005, Defendant Club made a timely election to have the claims resolved in federal court, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(a).
- 25. Subsequently, on or about September 9, 2005, the Secretary, through the Regional Counsel of HUD Region V, authorized the Attorney General to file an action on behalf of Plaintiffs, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o)(1).

### **VIOLATIONS OF LAW**

- 26. Defendant Club's conduct, described in paragraphs 10 through 20 above, constitutes:
  - a. discrimination in the sale or rental, or otherwise making unavailable or denying, a dwelling to any buyer or renter because of disability, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(1).
  - b. a refusal to permit, at the expense of the disabled person, reasonable modifications or existing premises occupied or to be occupied by such person if such modifications may be necessary to afford such person full enjoyment of the premises, in violation or 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(A); and c. coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with any person in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his having exercised or enjoyed, or on account of his having aided or encouraged any other person

in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected by §§3603 - 3606, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3617.

- 27. As a result of Defendant Club's conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered damages and are aggrieved persons within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3602(i).
- 28. The discriminatory actions of Defendant Club were intentional, willful, or taken in disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs.
- 29. The Defendant Club's actions, policies, procedures, and practices have a significantly disparate impact on the Plaintiffs and have the adverse effect of denying the Plaintiffs their rights and the use and enjoyment of their home.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly pray that this Court enter an ORDER that:

- 1. Declares that the discriminatory housing practices of Defendant Club as set forth above violate the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601, *et seq.*;
- 2. Enjoins Defendant Club, its agents, employees, and successors, and all other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant Club from discriminating on the basis of disability against any person in any aspect of the purchase or rental or a dwelling or from retaliating against anyone for violating fair housing rights, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(1);
- 3. Awards monetary damages to Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(1); and
- 4. Awards punitive damages to Plaintiffs Bill Joly and Gail Joly pursuant to 42U.S.C. § 3613(c)(1)

# 2:06-cv-02031-HAB-DGB #1 Page 8 of 9

The Plaintiffs further pray for such additional relief as the interests of justice may

require.

Respectfully Submitted, BILL JOLY and GAIL JOLY Plaintiffs

# By: s/ Joseph R. Butler

Joseph R. Butler Bar Number: 6226654 Attorney for Plaintiffs The John Marshall Law School Fair Housing Legal Clinic 28 East Jackson Boulevard, Ste 500 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone (312) 786-2267 Fax (312) 786-1047 Email: 6butler@jmls.edu SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

		OTROCTIONS ON THE REVER			I DEFENDANCE			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS					DEFENDANTS The Terror of Labor Heating and Fielding Children			
					The Town of Lake Hunting and Fishing Club, Inc.			
(b)				County of Residence o		Kankakee County		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE				
					1	NVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
(c)	Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Joseph Butler; The John Marshall Law School Fair Housing Legal (					Tina M. Olton; 114	N. Dixie Highway, Mo	mence, IL 60954; (815)	
		e 500, Chicago, IL 6060			472-2477			
II. B	ASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
<b>0</b> 1	U.S. Government Plaintiff			Citizen of This State  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  D 1 D 1 Incorporated or Principal Place  Of Business In This State				
<b>□</b> 2	U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2		
	(findcate Chizenship of Parties in Item 111)		Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 oreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country					
IV. N	NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl		FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTUED STATUTES	
<u> </u>	nsurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 N	Marine Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
CJ 140 N	Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury	-	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce	
	Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Persona	al 🔲	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS  ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
	Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit	
S	tudent Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	tTY	Safety/Health	- O TO THE CONTRACT	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 153 F	Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	<ul><li>370 Other Fraud</li><li>371 Truth in Lending</li></ul>		690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
	of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal Property Damage		710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  875 Customer Challenge	
□ 190 €	Other Contract	Product Liability	385 Property Damage	e   🗇 '	720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
	Contract Product Liability Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability		730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	EAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIO  510 Motions to Vaca		740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 I	Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
	Rent Lease & Ejectment  Torts to Land	Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  530 General		Security Act	26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
245 T	Fort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty				900Appeal of Fee Determination	
□ 290 /	All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights	iner			Under Equal Access to Justice	
		U 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition	·			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
		☐ 440 Other Civil Rights						
V. O	Original				nstated or 🐸 🤼 anoth	ferred from		
	Proceeding S		Appellate Court  tute under which you a		pened (speci (Do not cite jurisdiction	fy) Litigation al statutes unless diversity):	n Judgment	
VI. (	CAUSE OF ACTION		nice.					
		A violation of the	e Fair Housing Act		usal to grant a reasor			
	REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTIO	N I	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No	
VIII.	RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Harold	A. Bak	er	DOCKET NUMBER 0:	5-2223	
DATE			SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD			
02/	08/2006		s/ Joseph R.	. Butle	r			
FOR O	FFICE USE ONLY							
REC	CEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	